

# Assessing the Economic Impact of Different Bluetongue Virus (BTV) Incursion Scenarios to Help Develop Policy for Scotland



George J Gunn, Alistair W. Stott, Luiza Toma, Abdulai Fofana, Dominic Moran

SAC, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, Scotland  
Email: george.gunn@sac.ac.uk

Simon Gubbins, Camille Szmaragd

Institute for Animal Health, Pirbright Laboratory, U.K.

Bethan V. Purse, NERC Centre for Ecology & Hydrology Edinburgh

Alison Blackwell, Advanced Pest Solutions Ltd., Edinburgh


## Introduction

In late 2007 the Scottish Government (SG) perceived a need to review potential BTV control strategies for Scotland prior to implementation, and evaluate their effectiveness in order to prepare for any possible incursion of BTV.

This work was led by EPIC (the Epidemiology Population Health and Infectious Disease Control consortium) involving many researchers/organisations including Scottish Agricultural College, Institute for Animal Health (Pirbright) and Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (Edinburgh) working closely with SG staff who in turn liaised closely with SG ministers. (See Gunn et al<sup>1</sup> for details)

## Methods

A key aspect of this research was an interdisciplinary network of experts, researchers and policy makers co-ordinated as shown in the following schematic.




A cost benefit methodology was applied where baseline costs of current BTV prevention in Scotland (£140m/5 years) were compared with the benefits of avoiding direct and indirect costs of a certain single incursion of BTV8 virus into Scotland from one of the following incursion scenarios:

- a: northwards spread with BTV arriving in July 2008
- b: northwards spread with BTV arriving in September 2008
- c: northwards spread with BTV arriving in April 2009
- d: import of infected animals in September 2008
- e: import of infected animals in April 2009


With each of the following control options:

- C1: minimal control measures
- C2: vaccinate 100% farms in a border protection zone
- C3: vaccinate 80% of farms in a PZ to the Highland line
- C4: vaccinate 50% of farms in a PZ for whole of Scotland
- C5: vaccinate 80% of farms in 100km PZ around first IP
- C6: vaccinate 80% of farms in a PZ for whole of Scotland

## Results



**Worst case scenario: Discounted losses for each vaccination strategy relative to C1 assuming a constant 5 year BTV8 epidemic with no license to facilitate access to slaughter.**



**Best case scenario: Discounted losses for each vaccination strategy relative to C1 assuming a 5 year declining BTV8 epidemic with a license to facilitate access to slaughter.**

## Conclusions

- Benefits of losses avoided greatly exceeded costs of prevention.
- C6 proved the best control strategy under most scenarios.
- Indirect losses exceeded direct losses by a factor of over 2.5.
- Losses to the cattle sector exceeded sheep by a factor of ~9.
- Quantitative assessment of incursion risks was lacking.
- EPIC provided an effective platform for this interdisciplinary study.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge all involved parties from EPIC. We would also like to thank the Scottish Government for funding this interdisciplinary research.

Further information on this work is available from:

George J. Gunn  
SAC  
Epidemiology Research Unit  
Stratherrick Road  
Inverness, IV2 4JZ  
Tel: 01463 246064  
Email: Franz.Brulisauer@sac.ac



<sup>1</sup>Gunn, G. J., et al (2008) Assessing the Economic Impact of Different Bluetongue Virus (BTV) Incursion Scenarios in Scotland. Report to Scottish Government: [www.scotland.gov.uk](http://www.scotland.gov.uk)

